

[News](#)

Mark Pattison

[View Author Profile](#)



Catholic News Service

[View Author Profile](#)

[Join the Conversation](#)

Washington — September 7, 2018

[Share on Facebook](#)[Share on Twitter](#)[Email to a friend](#)[Print](#)

The 1983 Code of Canon Law did not anticipate crimes being committed by bishops that could result in their laicization, according to a canon law professor at The Catholic University of America.

Jesuit Fr. Robert Kaslyn, who has taught courses in laicization, said the Second Vatican Council has also made it more complicated to remove a bishop from the clerical state.

"It's a mess," Kaslyn told Catholic News Service in a Sept. 4 telephone interview. "It really is a 20th-century process that really didn't exist beforehand. And that process was suddenly needed to adapt to the abuse crisis."

Under canon law, laicization is given to deacons for "grave causes" and to priests for "most grave causes."

"It doesn't even mention bishops," said Kaslyn. "So in general, it was not foreseen that bishops could be quote-unquote laicized." Abuse of minors, he added, is "a more grave delict," or breach of care.

Vatican II also noted the three levels of ordination: the diaconate for deacons, the presbyterate for priests, and the episcopate for bishops. Kaslyn said the council called the episcopate "the fulfillment of the priesthood." As a result, he added "it's not just power of governance, but it's the fullness of priesthood. So it's very difficult (from which) to resign."

In the case of Archbishop Theodore McCarrick, who resigned from the College of Cardinals July 28, a month after the first allegations of abuse by him were reported in the media, it was easier for him to resign that title since it is an honorific title granted by the pope which does not confer another level of holy orders.

The first publicized allegation against McCarrick was of abuse of a minor. A subsequent report told of two college seminarians who were subject to abuse by McCarrick, who was their diocesan bishop. A fourth person reported abuse dating back to his childhood and continuing to adulthood; he has filed a criminal complaint against the prelate.

Under canon law, "a cleric can be punished for various acts against the Sixth Commandment: 'You shall not commit adultery,' " Kaslyn said. "There's another canon elsewhere about the obligation of celibacy where the bishop can pass judgment on individual cases of violation of celibacy."

If Archbishop McCarrick is found guilty in the canonical trial, he could incur the penalty of loss of the clerical state. The pope alone has the authority to issue such a penalty, although the Vatican Congregation for the Clergy was given authority in 2009 by Pope Benedict XVI to do so "extrajudicially," while still honoring the rights of the accused, said Father David Howard, canonical adviser to the U.S. bishops' Secretariat of Doctrine and Canonical Affairs, in an Aug. 31 email to CNS.*

The archbishop would not have to be present at the trial but would have an advocate making his case for him, just as those seeking marriage annulments are not present at the proceeding but have someone acting on their behalf.

Advertisement

Should McCarrick be removed from the clerical state, he would no longer be able to function as a priest. However, the sacrament of holy orders makes anyone who is ordained a "sacred minister and cannot lose that status," Kaslyn wrote in a 2015

article in "Studia Canonica," a scholarly journal.

As a result, the priest told CNS, were McCarrick to be in a senior citizens' home, and another resident were in danger of dying, he would be able to administer the sacrament of the sick to that resident, and it would be validly administered and recognized.

A laicized bishop would also most likely still receive whatever pension benefits he had earned during his time in ordained ministry. "We don't want to be seen as rewarding those committing crimes," Kaslyn said. "On the other hand, we don't want to throw them out in the street. ... When it comes to a priest, the bishop is obligated in charity to help the men if necessary," he added, especially "if he doesn't have any assets."

While the laicization of bishops has been exceedingly rare, the laicization of priests found to have committed sexual abuse has been more common.

However, in the 1970s and '80s, many men who left the priesthood to marry sought laicization but the Vatican did not grant their request.

"The church presumes — on the personal, theological, canonical and ecclesiological level — that, in asking for ordination, an individual knows the obligations and demands of the ordained ministry as well as its rights and accepted both freely," Kaslyn wrote in "Studia Canonica." He added that "contempt for celibacy does not justify the granting of the dispensation."

"John Paul II was try to figure out what's going on" with the upsurge in requests, Kaslyn told CNS. "Why did they stop the process? Why are they leaving their commitment? So that's why he stopped it," he said of laicizing.

That, too, has changed. "These days," Kaslyn said, "once the case is prepared, it's like six to eight months at most."

*The name of the Vatican dicastery and Fr. David Howard's job title have been corrected.