

[News](#)

[Opinion](#)



Cardinal Theodore McCarrick arrives at a meeting of cardinals in advance of the conclave to elect a new pope at the Vatican in March 2013. (CNS/Reuters/Max Rossi)



by Peter Daly

[View Author Profile](#)

[Join the Conversation](#)

October 22, 2018

[Share on Facebook](#)[Share on Twitter](#)[Email to a friend](#)[Print](#)

Two months into the sex abuse scandal that forced Theodore McCarrick to renounce his cardinal's red hat and withdraw to a Capuchin friary in [Kansas](#), Catholics are still asking, "How did this happen?" How does someone like McCarrick advance to the pinnacle of Catholic power and stay there for so long when he carries so much baggage of crime and sin? Was there no vetting? Were there no background checks? Was someone protecting him?

If there is any "malpractice" in this scandal, it belongs to the various papal nuncios (Vatican ambassadors) and the members of the Congregation for Bishops in Rome, who are responsible for checking the backgrounds of candidates for the episcopacy. They did not do their jobs. It also belongs to McCarrick's patrons and promoters in America and in Rome, including Cardinals Francis Spellman (archbishop of New York 1939-67) and Terence Cooke (archbishop of New York 1968-83) and Pope John Paul II. All three were enchanted by McCarrick's fundraising skills.

In his August [letter to the church](#), Pope Francis said that the explanation for the abuse crisis is "clericalism." I agree if we define clericalism as the view that priests and bishops are set apart from and above other people. They feel they are accountable only to their religious superiors. Certainly not to the laity and often not even to the civil authorities.

One other thing is for sure. McCarrick's case is not unique. With more than 5,000 Catholic bishops worldwide, there will be others that come to light. We have already seen them.

In February 2013, Cardinal Keith O'Brien of St. Andrews and Edinburgh, Scotland, [resigned](#) his post as archbishop following multiple allegations that he abused his power to demand sex from priests and seminarians. He went into seclusion and [died](#) on March 19, 2018.

After O'Brien's resignation, the Scottish bishops' conference commissioned a [report](#) on the "O'Brien affair," written by Andrew McLellan, a minister in the Presbyterian Church of Scotland. McLellan said that a culture of cronyism and secrecy in the Scottish Catholic Church made O'Brien's behavior and ascent possible.

Cronyism and secrecy are also part of the American church too. In fact, they are part of any large "hierarchy." When rumors about McCarrick and O'Brien surfaced, they

were protected by their cronies in the old boys' club. They were also protected by a habit and practice of secrecy.

Some archconservative Catholics in the U.S. are [saying](#) that McCarrick was protected by some sort of "gay clique" in the hierarchy. This is utter nonsense. If there is anything that is not talked about honestly by clerics, it is their sex lives. Clerics hide behind the mask of presumed celibacy. I doubt that McCarrick or O'Brien even admitted to themselves that they were gay.



Cardinal Keith O'Brien sits at a desk at his residence in Edinburgh, Scotland, in February 2013. (CNS/Reuters/David Moir)

Both McCarrick and O'Brien hid behind public homophobia. In their public lives, they were vocal opponents of gay rights and gay marriage. McCarrick opposed gay marriage in Maryland and the District of Columbia. He also opposed giving health insurance to gay couples employed by the archdiocese. Like O'Brien, he may have felt that his anti-gay public positions insulated him from rumors about his private life.

Cardinals are church politicians. Like other politicians, they do favors for people and expect favors in return. McCarrick was a master at this, using his power to reward friends with positions (pastorates, etc.) or titles (monsignor). He also used his position to protect himself and his reputation.

Like many other bishops, he did not rise to the top in the church because he was holy, wise, prophetic or profound. He rose because he was "one of the boys." Cronyism.

Secrecy is another feature of clericalism.

In the church, everything is done secretly. Appointments of pastors and bishops are done secretly and without any accountability. Communications with Rome are secret.

Personnel decisions require discretion and sensitivity, but the secrecy of clericalism is more about control and image. If information is closely held, the people with the information have more control.

Above all, bishops want to protect the "image" of the church. This is the reason for secret legal settlements and non-disclosure agreements in molestation cases. They wanted to protect the image of the church. Sex lives are the ultimate secret.

The Catholic clerical world is the deepest and darkest closet there is. A culture of clerical secrecy protected McCarrick. He was apparently used to living a double life and thought no one would reveal the truth.

Even the laity can play into this aura of clerical specialness. People say things like, "Nothing is too good for Father." "Always speak well of Father."

Advertisement

The Pennsylvania grand jury report recounted one case in which a boy told his parents that he had been molested by a priest. His parents beat him and told him never to speak that way about a priest again. That is clericalism supported by the laity.

Clericalism is a form of the sin of pride. It is an arrogance that can be seen in many things, big and little. The clerics like fancy vestments. They won't hold hands with

the congregation or share their chalice with non-clerics. The cleric wears special clothes, like a cassock, even on the street. He demands special titles like "reverend," "monsignor," "excellency," "eminence" or "holiness." The cleric treats the church treasury like his own piggy bank and does not expect to be held accountable to anyone on spending.

McCarrick knew the clerical pecking order and demanded that other people know their place. I found this out when I wrote him a letter about our priests' pension fund in Washington. (Arguably, I had more expertise on this since I am a civil lawyer and he is not.) He responded not to my concerns but simply to say that he was archbishop and I was not. That is clericalism.

Clericalism is the sin of the Pharisees that Jesus condemns. Pharisees, [Jesus said](#), enjoyed seats at the front of synagogues and places of honor at banquets.

The arrogance of clericalism allows people to think they can get away with anything. The crimes and sins that McCarrick is accused of are more about power than sex. Like Harvey Weinstein, Charlie Rose, Bill Cosby or Bill Clinton, McCarrick was a powerful man who could use his power to demand sex from people with less power, including children and his subordinates.

Clericalism gave him both a sword and a shield. The allegations against him suggest that clericalism allowed him to demand sex from children, seminarians and priests, and the arrogance to think he could get away with it. It looks like he almost did.

Author's note: *This is the third of three columns I have written on the scandal associated with the McCarrick revelations. My next three columns will focus on homophobia, misogyny and celibacy.*

[Fr. Peter Daly is a retired priest of the Washington Archdiocese and a lawyer. After 31 years of parish service, he now works with Catholic Charities.]

Editor's note: *We can send you an email alert every time Fr. Peter Daly's column, [Parish Diary](#), is posted to [NCRonline.org](#). Go to this page and follow directions: [Email alert sign-up](#).*