



A statue of Christ and a sign are seen in late August at the entrance to the Diocese of Harrisburg in Pennsylvania. The Justice Department said in mid-October it was opening its own investigation into Pennsylvania's Catholic dioceses and clergy sex abuse claims. (CNS/Reuters/Carlos Barria)



by Catholic News Service

[View Author Profile](#)

[Join the Conversation](#)

Harrisburg, Pa. — December 5, 2018

[Share on Bluesky](#)[Share on Facebook](#)[Share on Twitter](#)[Email to a friend](#)[Print](#)

In a 6-1 decision Dec. 3, the Pennsylvania Supreme Court said the identities of some clergy accused of abuse that were redacted from a grand jury report issued in mid-August must remain permanently blocked from release.

"We conclude ... we must make permanent the redaction of petitioners' identifying information ... as this is the only viable due process remedy we may now afford to petitioners to protect their constitutional rights to reputation," Justice Debra Todd said.

Lawyers for 24 priests named in the report said their clients fought the release of their identities because they said they "were denied an opportunity to appear before the grand jury to defend themselves, question witnesses, or provide contradictory evidence," the Pittsburgh Post-Gazette reported.

"They later argued that the report received so much publicity that it poisoned public opinion against their clients. The only solution, they contended, was to permanently block the names," it said.

Six of the justices agreed with their petitioners' argument. The lone dissenter was Chief Justice Thomas G. Saylor. In a statement after the ruling was handed down, he urged the bishops of the priests' respective dioceses to release the names.

Legal wrangling over release of the names began in June, when Pennsylvania Attorney General Josh Shapiro announced he planned to release in its entirety a long-awaited grand jury report on abuse claims in six of the state's Catholic dioceses.

The report was the result of a months-long investigation by Shapiro's office. More than 1,000 pages long, it covers a 70-year period beginning in 1947 and details sexual abuse claims in the dioceses of Harrisburg, Pittsburgh, Allentown, Scranton, Erie and Greensburg.

The report identified more than 1,000 sex abuse claims allegedly by 300 clergy and other church workers, but only two cases resulted in prosecutions because the statute of limitations had expired in the majority of cases.

Before Shapiro could release the report, the state Supreme Court ordered a last-minute stay after several clergy members who were named -- but not charged -- in the report argued that the document, if released without their comment, would

violate their constitutional rights.

Advertisement

The court ruled July 27 that the long-awaited report must be released minus the names of those clergy. The redacted report was issued Aug. 14.

The court's Dec. 3 decision revisited the July ruling, which was only a temporary stay on the release of the names in question.

"Because the report contains numerous allegations involving the reprehensible behavior of a multiplicity of individuals, we deemed the cumulative effect of those allegations as likely to inflame a reader's ire, and, thus, impede his or her capacity to evaluate the credibility of an individual's response," Todd wrote in the new decision.

"Petitioners also aver that they have been irrevocably tainted by public statements of the attorney general regarding their pursuit of this litigation," she said.

Those statements, she said, included Shapiro's July 25 letter to Pope Francis asking for his help in getting the full grand jury report released to the public as well as his remarks at a news conference in which he described the petitioners as having "concealed their identities through sealed court filings" and "accused them of seeking to 'bury the sexual abuse by priests upon children, and cover it up forever.'"

Todd said the justices "recognize and appreciate the importance of the grand jury's efforts" but "it is our obligation to guard against constitutional infringements."

"We acknowledge that this outcome may be unsatisfying to the public and to the victims of the abuse detailed in the report," Todd said. "While we understand and empathize with these perspectives, constitutional rights are of the highest order, and even alleged sexual abusers, or those abetting them, are guaranteed by our commonwealth's Constitution the right of due process."