

[Opinion](#)
[News](#)



President Biden's sunglasses are seen on the Resolute Desk during an April 11 infrastructure meeting with White House staff in the Oval Office of the White House. (Official White House photo/Cameron Smith)



by Michael Sean Winters

[View Author Profile](#)

Follow on Twitter at [@michaelswinters](#)

[**Join the Conversation**](#)

June 30, 2021

[Share on Bluesky](#)[Share on Facebook](#)[Share on Twitter](#)[Email to a friend](#)[Print](#)

It is not every day I get to issue a public thank you to Senate Minority Leader Mitch McConnell, but it appears he may be doing for the Democrats what they have been unable to do for themselves: get them to embrace wildly popular economic policies and set aside highly controversial and far less popular social policies. Thank you, Mitch!

Last week, President Joe Biden and a bipartisan delegation of Senators [announced](#) an infrastructure deal, and Biden went on to say that his signature on that deal would be linked to an additional, Democrats-only "human infrastructure" bill. Republicans cried "foul" and Biden [walked back](#) the veto threat over the weekend.

McConnell, looking to create mischief, challenged the Democratic leaders in Congress to abandon the latter bill. "Unless Leader Schumer and Speaker Pelosi walk-back their threats that they will refuse to send the president a bipartisan infrastructure bill unless they also separately pass trillions of dollars for unrelated tax hikes, wasteful spending, and Green New Deal socialism, then President Biden's walk-back of his veto threat would be a hollow gesture," he [thundered](#).

You can bet that from here until the final vote on the two bills, McConnell and the Republicans will make clear that while they support the first infrastructure bill, with its ample funding for traditional projects like repairing roads and bridges, they do not support the "human infrastructure" proposal that includes both tax hikes on the rich and universal child care. They want to make the Democrats own the second bill.

Advertisement

Yeah! A Harvard CAPS-Harris poll in May showed that two-thirds of voters [support raising taxes](#) on those making more than \$400,000. Sixty-five percent also support new spending on child care, health care and other social programs.

What is more, popular support for programs like child care will only increase over time. Say the federal government spends \$10 billion modernizing an airport near your house. Every time you go to the airport, you will be reminded that your tax dollars are at work improving the facility. You might even know someone in construction who will work on the project. But if you can't afford child care now, or

you can't afford adequate care for an elderly parent, and suddenly the government is making such care affordable? You will be reminded every day.

More than two years ago, I called attention to [research](#) by political scientist Lee Drutman. Instead of asking voters to self-identify as "liberal" or "conservative" or "moderate," Drutman looked at voters' answers to a range of issues and decided to create sub-categories, classifying voters as either liberal or conservative on both social issues and economic issues.

As you would expect, voters who are conservative on both social and economic issues constitute the base of the GOP, and voters who are liberal on both social and economic issues constitute the Democratic Party base. Voters who are conservative on economic issues and liberal on social issues, what we might call the Mike Bloomberg sector of the electorate, made up only 3.8% of the electorate in 2016. But those who are conservative on social issues and liberal on economic issues, what we might call Pope Francis voters, constituted 28.9% of the 2016 electorate.



House Speaker Nancy Pelosi, and her husband, Paul Pelosi, Senate Majority Leader Mitch McConnell, and his wife, former Secretary of Transportation Elaine Chao, and

Senate Minority Leader Chuck Schumer, with booklet, and his wife, Iris Weinshall, attend Mass at the Cathedral of St. Matthew the Apostle Jan. 20 in Washington before President-elect Joe Biden's inauguration. (CNS/Reuters/Tom Brenner)

What keeps the Democrats from embracing this obvious path to electoral dominance? Social issues are wildly popular with big donors, on Twitter, with special interest groups in Washington and with the kinds of people — upper middle class, highly educated, not worried about losing their job — who work on congressional staffs and in a new administration and in the media. If you think that is a generalization, consider all the focus on abortion and LGBT issues whenever there is a Supreme Court nominee and the dearth of focus on the [Commerce Clause](#) and labor issues.

Economic issues matter most to a lot of people who are not very powerful, blue collar workers and the poor, people left out of the neo-liberal economic success story that has been the dominant socio-cultural reality since the Reagan years. Increasingly, those in the middle class who are anxious about being squeezed out of the middle class also fit in this category too. These are the kind of people who really need help paying for child care and elder care. These are the people likely to have a job that does not have good health insurance. Many of them bought into the faux solidarity promoted by Donald Trump. Enough of them [voted for Biden](#) to put him in the White House. The "human infrastructure" bill will improve the lives of working-class Americans enormously, and ease the strain on middle-class Americans too.

As for higher taxes? A few weeks ago, I called attention to the [Pro Publica report](#) on the many perfectly legal ways the richest Americans, people like Jeff Bezos and Warren Buffett, avoid paying any taxes. I continue to think that every Democratic Party ad should start with pictures of Bezos' two yachts, the large one for sailing and the second yacht to serve as a helipad for the first yacht. (You can't make this stuff up!)

So, thank you Mitch McConnell. By making sure all Americans know that you and your party will have nothing to do with higher taxes on the rich or with putting money into "human infrastructure," you will move the Democrats into position to put less emphasis on the culture war issues and more attention on the kitchen table issues, opening the path to becoming the majority party again. Keep it up!